

# Axiom E6 is needed in Proposition 6.9 of “Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance”

Jasper De Bock

FLip (Foundations Lab for imprecise probabilities)  
Ghent University, Belgium  
jasper.debock@ugent.be

**Abstract.** In the winter of 2020-2021, when Covid-19 turned our lives around, Glenn Shafer gave a series of online lectures on “Game-theoretic foundations for statistical testing and imprecise probabilities” at the [SIPTA](#) school on imprecise probabilities. During those lectures, he mentioned a small open problem that intrigued him: in Proposition 6.9 of his new book with Vladimir Vovk, “Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance”, is Axiom E6<sup>[0,∞]</sup> needed or can it be removed? It intrigued me as well. In this short note, I provide a counterexample that demonstrates it cannot be removed.

Let  $\mathcal{Y} := \{H, T\}$  and let  $[0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$  be the set of all maps from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to  $[0, \infty]$ —so all nonnegative extended real functions on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Consider the operator  $\bar{E}$  on  $[0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$  defined by

$$\bar{E}(f) := \min \{ \alpha \in [0, \infty] : f(H) \leq \alpha, f(T) \leq 2\alpha \} \text{ for all } f \in [0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

This operator does not satisfy E6<sup>[0,∞]</sup> in [1, Proposition 6.9]. For example, if we let  $\mathbf{1}_T \in [0, \infty]^{\mathcal{Y}}$  denote the indicator of T, defined by  $\mathbf{1}_T(H) := 0$  and  $\mathbf{1}_T(T) := 1$ , then  $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T) = 1/2$  and  $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T + 1) = 1$ , hence  $\bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T + 1) \neq \bar{E}(\mathbf{1}_T) + 1$ . So  $\bar{E}$  fails E6<sup>[0,∞]</sup> for  $f = \mathbf{1}_T$  and  $c = 1$ . It therefore follows from [1, Proposition 6.9] that  $\bar{E}$  is not a  $[0, \infty]$ -upper expectation on  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

On the other hand, it is fairly straightforward to show that  $\bar{E}$  satisfies Axioms E1<sup>[0,∞]</sup> to E5<sup>[0,∞]</sup> in [1, Proposition 6.9]. Hence, Axiom E6<sup>[0,∞]</sup> cannot be removed from [1, Proposition 6.9]. Interestingly though, as can be seen from [1, Proposition 6.8] and the definition of an upper expectation on p.113 of [1], this is not true if the role of  $[0, \infty]$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ . So the restriction to nonnegative functions seems to have a fundamental effect on the axioms that are needed to characterise (restrictions of) upper expectations.

## References

1. Shafer, G., Vovk, V.: Game-Theoretic Foundations for Probability and Finance. Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey (2019)